

PRESENTERS

Jessica Hodgson, Izard Weston, Wellington

Jessica Hodgson joined Izard Weston in 2003 having practised previously as a solicitor at Bell Gully. She practises litigation, specialising in public law with a background in negotiation of Treaty settlements. Jessica has appeared on a number of judicial review applications both in New Zealand and in the Pacific and is currently assisting with a text book on judicial review.

Paul Radich, Izard Weston, Wellington

Paul Radich is a partner in Izard Weston, specialising in public law. He assists statutory bodies, Crown entities Government Departments here and in the Pacific in implementing effective decision-making procedures and appears for those bodies, entities and departments in public law proceedings. Paul is an assistant author of the text *Judicial Review: A New Zealand Perspective*, the author of public law commentary in *McGechan on Procedure* and has conducted seminars and workshops for the Law Society on public law and advocacy skills.

Acknowledgement

Paul and Jessica thank Greg Robins and Bevan Marten for their valuable assistance with parts of this paper. Greg, a recent summer clerk with Izard Weston, will, this summer, enhance the team at the Crown Law Office. Bevan continues to enhance the team as a solicitor at Izard Weston. Both show insight beyond their years and have a genuine enthusiasm for the development of public law.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
PRINCIPLES AT A REASONABLY HIGH LEVEL OF ABSTRACTION	1
THE EROSION OF THE <i>WEDNESBURY</i> APPROACH	2
<i>The expansion of the state</i>	3
<i>Fundamental rights</i>	4
THE EMERGENCE OF THE NOTIONS OF PROPORTIONALITY AND EVEN-HANDEDNESS	5
NEW ZEALAND TRENDS	6
HOW FAR WILL IT GO?	8
2. NATURE OF THE DECISION-MAKER AND NATURE OF THE DECISION	11
INTRODUCTION	11
JURISDICTION	12
<i>Historic test – source of public power</i>	12
<i>Watershed development</i>	12
INTENSITY OF REVIEW AND JUDICIAL DEFERENCE	14
APPLICATION	18
<i>Public bodies making public decisions</i>	19
<i>Public bodies making private decisions</i>	19
<i>Private bodies making public decisions</i>	20
<i>Private bodies making private decisions</i>	22
CONCLUSIONS	22
3. POLICIES AND DECISION-MAKING PROCEDURES	25
INTRODUCTION	25
THE PROS AND CONS OF ADOPTING POLICIES	26
A PRINCIPLED APPROACH	27
<i>A policy with no exceptions will be invalid</i>	27
<i>Firm policies with exceptions will be valid if genuine consideration is given to individual cases</i>	28
<i>Decisions under policies with exceptions will be invalid if genuine consideration is not given to individual cases</i>	28
<i>Open-ended policies are best</i>	29
<i>But an open-ended policy must be applied genuinely</i>	29
INTENSITY OF REVIEW OF DECISIONS MADE PURSUANT TO POLICIES	29
CONSULTATION ON THE INTRODUCTION OF POLICIES	31
4. THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS	33
PLANNING A DECISION-MAKING PROCESS	33
DELEGATION OF POWER	36
<i>Statutory delegation provisions</i>	36
<i>Common law delegation</i>	37
<i>Support in decision-making</i>	37
<i>Summary</i>	40
CONSULTATION	41
<i>General consultation principles</i>	41
<i>Is consultation necessary at all?</i>	42
<i>The breadth and depth of consultation required</i>	43
<i>Effective processes and quality of consultation</i>	44
<i>When consultation is required</i>	44
<i>Information to be supplied</i>	45
Advice from public servants	46
Confidential information	47
Reports	48
Pre-publication of the issues	48
<i>Time</i>	49
<i>Taking products of consultation into account</i>	49
<i>Other factors to take into account</i>	51
Maori interests	51

<i>Whether another round of consultation is required, and the obligation to bring consultation to an end</i>	51
<i>An entitlement to the views of other consultees?</i>	53
<i>The ability to remedy a flawed consultation process</i>	53
Overarching discretion	53
Futility of relief	53
LEGITIMATE EXPECTATIONS – A PROCEDURAL NOTION OR A SUBSTANTIVE GROUND FOR REVIEW?	55
<i>Introduction</i>	55
<i>The basis for the concept</i>	55
<i>The classical approach</i>	56
<i>The turning of the tide</i>	57
<i>Recognition of the related notion of substantive fairness</i>	58
<i>Recognition of the related notions of proportionality and even-handedness</i>	59
<i>The net result by 1998</i>	60
<i>A different approach in the United Kingdom</i>	60
Has an expectation been created?	61
Unlawful representations	62
The frustration of a legitimate expectation	63
Remedies and consequences for an expectation that has been made out	64
<i>The position in New Zealand as a result</i>	65
<i>The net effect</i>	69
<i>Compensation?</i>	69
NEW ZEALAND BILL OF RIGHTS ACT COMPENSATION	70
<i>Discretionary nature of BORA remedies</i>	70
Baigent's case	70
<i>Breadth of natural justice (s 27)</i>	71
<i>Legal representation: prisoners</i>	71
<i>Legal representation: immigration</i>	72
<i>The availability of remedies under section 27</i>	73
<i>Factors to take into account when considering monetary compensation</i>	74
Effectiveness of other remedies	74
Vindication of the right	74
Other factors	75
<i>Factors to take into account when determining the appropriate quantum of compensation</i>	76
The importance of the right at stake	76
Consequences of the breach	76
Quantum on appeal	77
<i>A parting comment</i>	77
5. MAKING THE DECISION	79
GROUNDS OF REVIEW – POSSIBLE FLAWS	79
ILLEGALITY	81
IRRATIONALITY	82
<i>Reasonableness and proportionality</i>	85
PROCEDURAL IMPROPRIETY	86
<i>Fairness generally</i>	86
<i>Bias</i>	86
Establishing bias	87
Levels of tolerance	89
Challenges on the basis of bias	90
AVOIDING FLAWS	92
6. REASONS FOR DECISIONS	93
7. CONSEQUENCES AND REMEDIES	99
THE COURT'S DISCRETION	99
FACTORS	100
NATURE OF RELIEF AND REMEDIES	105
CONCLUSIONS	108